Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/029,153	SAKAWAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Holly Rickman</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>Sheldon Landsman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1-29,31	*	
Prior art documents discussed:		
none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
	•	
·		
⊕		
Holly Clath		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The exr. indicated that the terms "radial" and "circumferential" used throughout the claims did not have clear antecedent basis since the preamble of each claim is directed to a magnetic recording "medium" and not a disk. The exr. suggested the amendment of the claims to require a magnetic recording "disk" which would provide antecedent basis for the claims "radial" and "circumferential" directions. Mr. Landsman agreed to the amendments to the claims.